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## Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study
$T=298 \mathrm{~K}$
Mean $\sigma(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C})=0.002 \AA$
$R$ factor $=0.041$
$w R$ factor $=0.110$
Data-to-parameter ratio $=16.8$
For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.
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## 3-Ethylsulfonyl-2-phenylquinoxaline 1,4-dioxide

In the title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$, the phenyl and quinoxaline 1,4-dioxide planes are approximately perpendicular, with a dihedral angle of $85.8(2)^{\circ}$.

## Comment

Quinoxaline 1,4-dioxides have become an attractive target for organic chemists because of their broad range of biological activities, such as antibacterial (Takabatake et al., 1996), antitubercular (Jaso et al., 2005), cytotoxic (Torre et al., 2005), herbicidal (Ma et al., 2004) and antimalarial (Aldana et al., 2003) activities. In order to study their cytotoxic activity, a series of 2-substituted-phenyl-3-ethylsulfonylquinoxaline 1,4dioxide has been synthesized. As part of the study, the structure of the title compound, (I), has been determined, and we present the results here.

(I)

In (I), the quinoxaline 1,4-dioxide system is almost planar (Fig. 1 and Table 1). The quinoxaline 1,4-dioxide and phenyl planes are approximately perpendicular, with a dihedral angle of $85.8(2)^{\circ}$.

## Experimental

2-Ethylthioacetophenone ( 10 mmol ) and benzofuroxan ( 10 mmol ) were dissolved in methanol $(100 \mathrm{ml})$ and ammonia gas was bubbled in for 10 min . The reaction mixture was then allowed to stand at room temperature overnight. The crystalline precipitate which formed was filtered off and washed with methanol to give 2-phenyl-3-ethylthioquinoxaline 1,4 -dioxide. A solution of $m$-chloroperbenzoic acid $(10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in chloroform ( 30 ml ) was added dropwise to an ice-cold solution of 2-phenyl-3-ethylthioquinoxaline 1,4-dioxide ( 5 mmol ) in chloroform ( 15 ml ), and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The chloroform solution was washed with aqueous sodium bicarbonate, dried over magnesium sulfate and filtered. After removing the solvent in a vacuum, the residue was purified by crystallization from methanol-chloroform (10:1 $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to
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afford the title compound, (I). Crystals of (I) suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained by slow evaporation of an ethanolchloroform solution ( $25: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) of the compound at room temperature.

## Crystal data

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S} \\
& M_{r}=330.36 \\
& \text { Monoclinic, } P 2_{1} / n \\
& a=10.261(5) \AA \\
& b=8.573(4) \AA \\
& c=17.898(7) \AA \\
& \beta=104.415(16)^{\circ} \\
& V=1524.9(11) \AA^{\circ} \\
& Z=4
\end{aligned}
$$

## Data collection

Rigaku R-AXIS RAPID
diffractometer
$\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(ABSCOR; Higashi, 1995)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.859, T_{\text {max }}=0.928$
14375 measured reflections

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.041$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.110$
$S=1.01$
3489 reflections
208 parameters

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{x}=1.439 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{~m}^{-3} \\
& \text { Mo } K \alpha \text { radiation } \\
& \text { Cell parameters from } 11903 \\
& \text { reflections } \\
& \theta=3.1-27.5^{\circ} \\
& \mu=0.23 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1} \\
& T=298(1) \mathrm{K} \\
& \text { Prism, brown } \\
& 0.55 \times 0.45 \times 0.32 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{aligned}
$$

3489 independent reflections
2423 reflections with $F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.026$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=27.5^{\circ}$
$h=-13 \rightarrow 13$
$k=-11 \rightarrow 8$
$l=-23 \rightarrow 23$

H-atom parameters constrained
$w=1 /\left[0.0009 F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}+\sigma\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)\right] /\left(4 F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\text {max }}<0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.29 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.29 \mathrm{e}^{\AA^{-3}}$

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters ( $\left({ }^{\circ},{ }^{\circ}\right)$.

| S1-O3 | $1.4245(15)$ | S1-C15 | $1.764(2)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| S1-O4 | $1.4169(13)$ | O1-N1 | $1.277(2)$ |
| S1-C1 | $1.8054(17)$ | O2-N2 | $1.2811(19)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| O1-N1-C2-C3 | $2.8(2)$ | N2-C8-C9-C10 | $-92.2(2)$ |
| O2-N2-C7-C6 | $-3.7(2)$ |  |  |

All H atoms were placed in geometrically idealized positions. The methyl H atoms were then constrained to an ideal geometry, with $\mathrm{C}-$ $\mathrm{H}=0.96 \AA$ and $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C})$, but the group was allowed to rotate freely about its $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond. Other H atoms were constrained to ride on their parent atoms, with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.93-0.97 \AA$ and $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=$ $1.2 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C})$.

Data collection: PROCESS-AUTO (Rigaku, 1998); cell refinement: PROCESS-AUTO; data reduction: CrystalStructure (Rigaku/ MSC, 2004); program(s) used to solve structure: SIR97 (Altomare et al., 1999); program(s) used to refine structure: CRYSTALS (Betteridge et al., 1996); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 for Windows


Figure 1
The molecular structure of (I), showing the atom-labelling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $40 \%$ probability level.
(Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: CrystalStructure.

The authors are grateful to Mr Jianmin Gu of the analysis center of Zhejiang University for helpful assistance.
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